1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

K9A5lerS telephonic proceeding

(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, will you please state your name for the record?

MR. CHABROWE: Jeffrey Chabrowe for Mr. Leroux. morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. LOCKARD: Good morning, your Honor. Michael Lockard for the government.

THE COURT: Good morning.

As I noted in my July 10th order, I scheduled this proceeding to clarify the breakdown of the 300-month sentence I previously imposed but first I just want to remind everyone that this is a public proceeding. Members of the public and press are able to access the proceeding through the public call-in number. All participants, however, are reminded that any recording or rebroadcasting of any portion of this proceeding is strictly prohibited.

I also want to confirm that Mr. Leroux again consents to proceed by video today. We are of course in the middle of the COVID-19 pandemic. I am conducting this proceeding remotely pursuant to the authority provided by Section 15002 of the CARES Act and standing orders issued by our Chief Judge pursuant to that. I am proceeding by video conference, I am myself within the district. Counsel are appearing by phone but Mr. Leroux is appearing by video conference from the GEO

facility.

Mr. Leroux, can you see and hear me?

THE DEFENDANT: I can, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you again consent to proceed by video today?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: I find that a knowing and voluntary waiver of the right to be physically present has been made. I also find that today's proceeding cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice because Mr. Leroux initially sought a time-served sentence and now seeks to promptly appeal the sentence. It is also important that he be designated to a facility. So, let's just get to the heart of this.

As you all know, on June 12th I sentenced Mr. Leroux to 300 months' imprisonment on a total of seven counts, specifically Counts One through Four of indictment 12 crim 489 and Counts One through Three of 14 crim 75. Judgment was entered in 12 crim 489 on July 8th. Mr. Leroux appealed that judgment on July 9th. The Court will enter a revised judgment in that case, in 12 crim 489, after this sentencing. Judgment has not yet been entered in 14 crim 75 because I discovered errors regarding the breakdown of the sentence upon reviewing the transcript of the sentencing proceeding prior to issuing that judgment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I want to be clear that I am incorporating everything from the June 12th proceeding. So, for the reasons that I stated then I am imposing a sentence of 300 months in That has not changed. I am, though, amending the breakdown of that sentence as there were errors in my articulation of it. So, the sentence is as follows: months on Count One of 12 crim 489; 240 months on Count Two of 12 crim 489; 60 months on Count Three of 12 crim 489; 150 months on Count Four of 12 crim 489; 60 months on Count One of 14 crim 75; 240 months on Count Two of 14 crim 75; and 240 months on Count Three of 14 crim 75. All of these sentences are to run concurrently for a total of 300 months' imprisonment on all counts which includes, of course, the amount of time Mr. Leroux has already served which I believe is about 95 months at this point in time.

The terms of supervised release and the conditions of supervised release will all remain the same, what I articulated previously, as will the fine or lack thereof, and the \$700 special assessment. So that's all remaining the same.

I do, though, before we go, want to touch on restitution and forfeiture.

Mr. Lockard, where are we on restitution? On June 12 you requested 90 days which I believe is up today so where are we on seeking restitution and submitting a proposed restitution order?

1	MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor.
2	At this point we have not identified a victim
3	(inaudible) loss, and so at this time we are not in a position
4	to submit a proposed order of restitution.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Well, if that changes, I would
6	intend to impose restitution but, since it hasn't, I'm not
7	going to do so at this point in time.
8	Then, with respect to forfeiture, on July 17th I
9	signed the preliminary forfeiture order that the government
10	proposed. Mr. Chabrowe, do you have any objection to the
11	preliminary forfeiture order?
12	MR. CHABROWE: I do not, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So I am incorporating the
14	forfeiture order into my sentence today. I previously ordered
15	forfeiture but I am going to incorporate that forfeiture order
16	into my sentence today.
17	Does either counsel know of any legal reason why this
18	sentence should not be imposed, as stated? All prior
19	objections are preserved for the record.
20	MR. CHABROWE: I do not, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Lockard?
22	MR. LOCKARD: I do not, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So I want to clarify again that
24	Mr. Leroux has a right to appeal his conviction and sentence

except to whatever extent he may have validly waived that

K9A5lerS

If he does choose to appeal, the notice of appeal must be filed within 14 days of the judgment of conviction. I am going to issue these two judgments promptly. If you cannot pay for the cost of an appeal, you may apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis which simply means that Court costs such as filing fees will be waived. If you request, the Clerk of Court will prepare and file a notice of appeal on your behalf.

Are there any other applications at this time?

All right. Well, thank you all for coming back today and allowing me to clarify that. We are adjourned. Thank you.